Modelling the Spatio-Temporal Distribution of Ambient Nitrogen Dioxide and Investigating the Effects of Public Transit Policies on Population Exposure

Maryam Shekarrizfard, Marianne Hatzopoulou



Introduction

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

Challenges

Transportation is associated with air pollution.



People are living and working close to busy streets and individual exposure remains a concern.



INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

Challenges

 In Canada, air pollution yearly is associated about with 5500 deaths yearly



10 million Canadians at risk from exposure to traffic pollution: researchers



A poor air quality sign is posted over a highway, in Salt Lake City, Jan. 23, 2013. (AP / Rick Bowmer)

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS

Background



Health & Place

Volume 34, July 2015, Pages 287–295



Spatial analysis of exposure to traffic-related air pollution at birth and childhood atopic asthma in Toronto, Ontario

K. Shankardass^{a, p}, A., M. Jerrett^{c,} A., S.D. Dell^{d, e,} A., Foty^{f,} A., D. Stieb^{g,} A.



Environment International

Volume 74, January 2015, Pages 240–248



Exposure to traffic-related air pollution and the risk of developing breast cancer among women in eight Canadian provinces: A case-control study

Perry Hystad^{a,} 📥 🖾 , Paul J. Villeneuve^b, Mark S. Goldberg^{o, d}, Dan L. Crouse^e, Kenneth Johnson^f, the



INTRODUCTION

Environmental Research

Volume 115, May 2012, Pages 18-25



Neurobehavioral effects of exposure to traffic-related air pollution and transportation noise in primary schoolchildren *

Elise van Kempen^{a,} • • • • Paul Fischer^a, Nicole Janssen^a, Danny Houthuijs^a, Irene van Kamp^a, Stephen Stansfeld^b, Flemming Cassee^a

METHODOLOGY

Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A: Current Issues

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uteh20

The Association Between Chronic Exposure to Traffic-Related Air Pollution and Ischemic Heart Disease

Bernardo S. Beckerman ^a , Michael Jerrett ^a , Murray Finkelstein [•] , Pavlos Kanaroglou ^c ,



Crouse, Dan L; Goldberg, Mark S; Ross, Nancy A; Chen, Hong; Labrèche, France. Environmental Health Perspectives 118.11 (Nov 2010): 1578-83.

Traffic-related air pollution and prostate cancer risk: a case–control study in Montreal, Canada

Marie-Élise Parent¹, Mark S Goldberg^{2,3}, Dan L Crouse⁴, Nancy A Ross⁵, Hong Chen⁶, Marie-France Valois^{2,3}, Alexandre Liautaud⁷

Results

Tools



INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

CONCLUSIONS

We have developed a transportation emission dispersion model to:

I. Demonstrate the impact of population mobility on air pollution exposure



Objective

II. Assess the effects of transit investments in the metropolitan area on air quality and exposure

Methodology

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS



METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS

Study area



10

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

NO_x emissions at link level



INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS

Simulation of winds



INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS

Total number of links sources	375200		
Spatial Resolution	1x1km		
		January	
Simulation pariod	Awaaka	April	
Simulation period	4 weeks	August	
		October	
Jobs submitted to super computers of Compute Canada	4 weeks x 2 (base and horizon year) x 2 (scenarios) = 16 jobs		
Computational time	Each jobs takes 24hours of runtime Approx. 16 to 20 days in total (without time in queue)		

NIT			CTI	OM
INT	RΟ	טט		

Hourly NO₂ concentrations



INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS

14

102 (ppb)

Monthly average NO₂ concentrations

15





INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

Validating against fixed stations



RESULTS

Performance measures	January	April	October	August	Acceptable Value
Normalized absolute difference (NAD)	0.39	0.34	0.28	0.40	<0.5
Fractional mean bias (FB)	0.23	0.67	0.46	0.56	-0.67 to 0.67
Normalized mean-square error (NMSE)	1.23	1.45	1.01	6.92	<6

METHODOLOGY

INTRODUCTION

CONCLUSIONS

Methodology

Comparison of dispersion and LUR model outputs



Crouse et al. 2009 (NO2-LUR 1)

Deville-Cavellin et al. 2015 (NO2-LUR 2)

Simulated NO ₂			
Yearly	Weekly	Daily	Hourly
87060	87060	87060	87060
0.78^{**}	0.77^{**}	0.76^{**}	0.62^{**}
0.76^{**}	0.74^{**}	0.72^{**}	0.62^{**}
	87060 0.78 ^{**}	Yearly Weekly 87060 87060 0.78** 0.77**	Yearly Weekly Daily 87060 87060 87060 0.78** 0.77** 0.76**

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

Individual Trajectories



H: Home

W (Work) and S (Shopping): Activity stops

H, W and S: Stops

 $\square 24-hour mobility = H + Trip_1 + W + Trip_2 + S + Trip_3 + H$

$$C_{NO_{2}}^{i} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \left[C_{NO_{2}}^{k} - t(t) \times t_{trip}^{k}(t) + C_{NO_{2}}^{k} - s(t) \times t_{stop}^{k}(t) \right] \right)_{t}}{N}$$

where N is the sum of trip and stop durations

 $t_{trip}^{k}(t)$ total time an individual spent at every trip (in hours) $t_{stop}^{k}(t)$ total time an individual spent at every stop (in hours) $C_{NO_{2}-s}^{k}(t)$ is the NO₂ concentration during stop time at end of trip k at time t $C_{NO_{2}-t}^{k}(t)$ is the NO₂ concentration for part of trip k at time t

□Sample of 29,219 Individuals



INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

Scenario Analysis

- i. 2008-baseline year
- ii. 2031-business-as-usual (BAU)
- iii. 2008-Transit scenario
- iv. 2031-Transit scenario



 By 2031, region's projected growth would be about 600,000 new residents

Plan Métropolitain d'Aménagement et de Développement (PMAD; 2011)

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

Results

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

Number of trips by mode

Trips Categories	Base 2008	Transit 2008	BAU 2031	Transit 2031
Drive	3,626,805	3,599,976	4,833,805	4,809,305
Passenger	761,791	750,495	984,546	978,194
Transit	1,190,343	1,224,232	138,8346	1,416,476
Walk	754,025	746,965	866,582	861,138
Bike	143,321	143,321	174,224	172,409
Park/Kiss and Ride	150,381	160,266	207,798	218,687
Other mode	433,494	434,906	618,858	617,950
Total number of trips	7,060,161	7,060,161	9,074,160	9,074,160

 The VKT reduction is1.8% and 1% in 2008 and 2031 transit scenarios compared to their corresponding base years

INTRODUCTION

CONCLUSIONS

Difference in number of driving trips and hourly NO_x emissions

24



INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

NO₂ concentrations



NO₂ concentrations



NO₂ exposures





Mobility vs Home Exposure



INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

Conclusion

- We observed significant reductions in NO₂ concentrations in downtown due to transit scenario compared to baseline year
- Individual exposures were reduced throughout all TAZs, including the suburbs due to population mobility patterns
- Ignoring population mobility would result in daily exposures that are underestimated/overestimated for individuals living in peripheral areas and downtown respectively
- The impact of the transit policy in either year is smaller than the impact of technology

Acknowledgements

Collaborators

Montreal Department of Public Health

Funding sources

 This research was funded by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research

Thank you!

Questions?

Maryam Shekarrizfard Postdoctoral Fellow Civil Engineering- University of Toronto maryam.shekarrizfard@utoronto.ca

INTRODUCTION

H

T

7

H

T

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS