Subway's system interruptions impacts on adjacent streetcar and bus transit service performance & Shuttle service optimization

ICITY - ORF 2<sup>ND</sup> ANNUAL STUDENT PRESENTATIONS JUNE 26, 2017

Ehab Diab ehab.diab@utoronto.ca



#### Miserable morning rush hour fades into memory as TTC service resumes

Thousands of commuters left scrambling after overnight fire in hydro vault kills downtown subways and streetcars.



TORONTO

#### Toronto's manic morning: How the TTC's troubles unfolded Tuesday

Subway service is up and running again after a power failure Tuesday morning scuttled trains and streetcar routes across downtown Toronto, creating chaos, **Oliver Moore** reports

#### OLIVER MOORE

URBAN TRANSPORTATION REPORTER | The Globe and Mail | Last updated: Monday, Mar. 28, 2016 11-05AM EDT



https://www.thestar.com/news/gta/2016/03/01/power-failure-closes-yonge-subway-diverts-downtown-streetcars.html http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/toronto/torontos-manic-morning-how-the-ttcs-troubles-areunfolding/article28962684/



# TTC subway passengers dealt with 'absolutely brutal' commute

Passengers faced 30-minute delay between Finch and Bloor stations



<sup>ays</sup> Stuck at Yonge for over 30 mins. Easily the worst subway system in NA, possibly the world? #ttc #fairhike #toronto 10:00 AM - 9 Feb 2016

← 13 ♥ 7

http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/ttc-delays-1.3440052







**UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO** FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING Transportation Research Institute

# **Research Gap**

# Exploring the quality of transit service is traditionally done on a mode-by-mode basis

 It is rare to find studies that investigated the impacts of breakdown of one transit mode on other functioning modes in multimodal integrated transit systems



# **Research Gap**

Furthermore, although one of the main strategies that has been widely employed to deal with rail service interruptions is "bus bridging", whereby buses from scheduled services are retracted and deployed to offer shuttle services

 It is rare to find studies that optimize shuttle service employment in order to minimize subway service's interruptions impact on bus users



# Research Objective (or Projects)

1. Aims at understanding the impact of incident and interruption delays of Toronto's subway system on the performance of adjacent surface transit system, namely buses and streetcars

2. Develop a shuttle service optimization model to help transit agencies in determining the optimal routes from which to pull buses from as well as to find the optimal number of buses



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING Transportation Research Institute

### **First project:**

Understanding the impacts of subway's system interruptions on adjacent streetcar and bus transit service performance

Diab, E., & Shalaby, A. (2017) Understanding the impacts of subway's system interruptions on streetcar and bus transit service performance. paper presented at the 3rd International Workshop and Symposium TransitData in Santiago, Chile, May 22-24, 2017.



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING Transportation Research Institute

# Methodology - Data

- Two sets of data:
  - Detailed dataset of subway incidents in 2013 compiled by the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC)

 TTC's Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL) system data for bus and streetcar routes that are within a short walking distance (200 m) from the subway stations investigated in this study



# Methodology - Data

- Subway system interruption data:
  - A total of 12,000 subway incidents at the station level of analysis in 2013
  - For each record, the TTC's dataset includes:
    - date, time
    - subway station, direction of travel
    - amount of delay (in minutes)
    - train number and type
    - a brief description of the incident and a code representing the incident type



# Methodology - Study Time Frame

- The time frame of interest include all weekdays of May 2013
- That month saw the greatest number of incidents with the largest amount of delay, and lowest standard deviations at the system level in 2013





# Methodology - Subway Stop Selection

- Focus on 24 subway stations along Line 1 (YUS line)
- These stations were selected according to a composite indicator that was generated to identify the most vulnerable stations in the subway system.





Projection: NAD 1983 Ontario Lambert

# Methodology – Analyzed Incidents

 Allowing us to analyze the impacts of **388 incidents** with total **delay of 1702 minutes**, ranging from 2 minutes incidents to 73 minutes incidents



# Methodology

- More than 80 million observations were collected from the TTC's AVL system for 41 bus routes and 10 streetcar routes for the weekdays between May 1<sup>st</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup>, 2013
  - AVL data include information on bus and streetcar locations (x and y coordinates) recorded every 20 seconds as well as other information related to time of record and route number



# Methodology – data preparation

- Trip-time-point segment is the study's unit of analysis
  - defined as the part of a trip over a route section between every two consecutive time points along a route
- Thus, all the variables were summarized according to that
  - E.g., average speed per trip-segment





# Methodology – data preparation

 After this process, about
1,200,000 and 800,000 tripsegment records were included in the analysis for the bus and streetcar datasets, respectively





# Methodology

- Descriptive statistics
- Two statistical models using the bus and streetcar service average speed (kilometre/hour) per tripsegment as the dependent variable
  - Bus speed model
  - Streetcar speed model



# Methodology

	Variable
	Direction
	Segment sequence
	Number of scheduled stops
	Adjacent segment (within 200 meters)
- i	Segment with a layover
	Segment distance (KM)
	Streetcar—Bus
iab	Streetcar STC—ALRV
/ar	Streetcar —Flexity
	Bus route number i (41 dummy variables)
li l	Streetcar route number <i>i</i> (10 dummy variables)
Col	Distance to Union Station (KM)
1	Morning peak
	Afternoon peak
	Early evening
	Late evening
	Subway station ridership (in thousands)
	Subway station ridership^2
	Trips starting within 5 minutes of an incident
<b>v</b> i	Trips starting within 5-10 minutes of an incident
ble	Trips starting within 10-20 minutes of an incident
ria	Trips starting within 20-30 minutes of an incident
Va	Trips starting within 30-60 minutes of an incident
icy	Trips starting within 60+ minutes of an incident
20	Segments after an impacted segment
	Trips in same direction of an incident
	Trips starting after a cleared incident



## Analysis - Models

#### Streetcar speed model

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t t	Sia.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
1	(Constant)	10 11 3	032		312 398	000	10.050	10 177	
1 ·	DIR of TT	- 412	010	- 037	-39.379	000	- 433	- 392	
	TP	047	002	028	19749	000	042	052	
	Sm TPStp count	028	.001	048	-43.338	.000	029	026	
	Stops witihin100	-2.909	.018	- 215	-163.695	.000	-2.944	-2.875	
	Layover	-1.830	.013	160	-143.606	.000	-1.855	-1.805	
	Seq_dis	.002	.000	.269	229,598	.000	.002	.002	
	BusType	323	.035	017	-9.248	.000	392	255	
	STC-AIRV	211	.041	012	-5.125	.000	291	130	
	Flexity	.406	2.034	.000	.200	.842	-3.581	4.393	
	DIST_Union	.000	.000	.130	51.645	.000	.000	.000	
	AmP	1.503	.015	.105	99.001	.000	1.473	1.533	
	Afternoon_P	721	.014	056	-51.703	.000	748	694	
	night_P	1.168	.017	.072	69.479	.000	1.135	1.201	
	Early_morning	3.984	.017	.239	231.406	.000	3.950	4.018	
	T_riderhsipF1000	033	.003	036	-13.244	.000	038	028	
	T_riderhsipF1000_2	.000	.000	.034	13.448	.000	.000	.000	
	N30_STR_0_5	442	.272	002	-1.627	.104	975	.091	
	N30_STR_5_10	-1.403	.342	006	-4.103	.000	-2.074	733	
	N30_STR_10_20	-1.168	.315	007	-3.709	.000	-1.785	551	
	N30_STR_20_30	-1.064	.322	006	-3.302	.001	-1.696	433	
	N30_STR_within_60	-1.145	.354	004	-3.236	.001	-1.838	451	
	N30_STR_above_60	-1.798	.851	002	-2.114	.035	-3.466	131	
	Fix_TPs_after_Incident	016	.030	001	-1.711	.092	076	.013	
	N30_After_INC_Cleared_ Dummy	1.001	.283	.010	3.538	.000	.447	1.556	
	R501	789	.045	042	-17.596	.000	876	701	
	R502	697	.047	015	-14.825	.000	789	605	
	R503	162	.071	002	-2.278	.023	302	023	
	R504	078	.018	005	-4.321	.000	114	043	
	R506	.220	.021	.012	10.275	.000	.178	.263	
	R509	1.540	.048	.072	32.377	.000	1.447	1.633	
	R510	-2.484	.025	154	-99.625	.000	-2.533	-2.435	
	R511	-1.194	.027	056	-44.636	.000	-1.247	-1.142	
1	R512	- 579	040	- 033	.14 375	000	- 658	. 500	

a. Dependent Variable: Av\_SP\_KMH

### **Bus speed model**

Coefficients<sup>a</sup>

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
Model		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	14.215	.053		266.535	.000	14.110	14.319
	DIR_of_TT	.290	.014	.015	21.202	.000	.263	.317
	TP	.034	.003	.016	11.508	.000	.028	.040
	Sm_TPStp_count	208	.004	055	-49.560	.000	216	200
	Stops_witihin100	-3.941	.017	194	-232.417	.000	-3.974	-3.908
	Layover	-7.691	.023	312	-336.518	.000	-7.736	-7.646
	Seg_dis	.003	.000	.243	204.144	.000	.003	.003
	DIST_Union	.000	.000	.059	44.209	.000	.000	.000
	AmP	.485	.020	.021	24.832	.000	.447	.523
	Afternoon_P	-2.087	.019	095	-112.732	.000	-2.123	-2.051
	night_P	1.968	.022	.071	87.818	.000	1.924	2.012
	Early_morning	6.385	.023	.221	273.918	.000	6.339	6.431
	T_ridership_F1000	033	.003	031	-12.397	.000	039	028
	T_ridership_F1000_2	.000	.000	.033	13.858	.000	.000	.001
	N30_STR_0_5	.062	.195	.000	.321	.748	319	.444
	N30_STR_5_10	.140	.189	.001	.740	.459	230	.509
	N30_STR_10_20	.018	.142	.000	.127	.899	261	.297
	N30_STR_20_30	293	.144	002	-2.033	.042	576	011
1	N30_STR_WILDIN_60	597	.231	002	-2.583	.010	-1.050	144
1	ivou_oint_above_bu	-3.548	2.589	001	-1.370	.1/1	-8.623	1.527
	N20 Some direction	4.32	.038	008	-11.214	.000	507	356
	D6	.357	.201	.001	1.//2	.076	038	2,200
	P6	-2.395	.048	050	-49.000	.000	-2.409	-2.300
	RJ R7	3.560	.062	.047	5 21 1	.000	3.405	3.706
	R11	2,619	.032	330	74 753	000	2 550	2.697
	R14	3 213	064	041	50.444	000	3.088	3 3 3 8
	R26	1.371	078	014	17 598	000	1 218	1.524
	R29	.564	.036	.017	15.566	.000	493	.636
	R33	1.097	.102	.008	10.805	.000	.898	1.296
	R34	3.069	.045	.065	68.168	.000	2.980	3.157
	R51	4.503	.070	.049	64.443	.000	4.366	4.640
	R52	1.914	.035	.051	54.787	.000	1.845	1.982
	R54	3.141	.044	.068	72.090	.000	3.056	3.227
	R58	.656	.048	.011	13.641	.000	.561	.750
	R59	4.653	.068	.052	68.479	.000	4.519	4.786
	R61	.067	.065	.001	1.030	.303	060	.194
	R78	6.064	.082	.058	74.219	.000	5.904	6.224
	R82	4.772	.095	.040	50.361	.000	4.586	4.957
	R88	2.555	.059	.036	43.166	.000	2.439	2.671
	R90	.834	2.440	.000	.342	.733	-3.949	5.617
	R94	-3.683	.066	052	-55.622	.000	-3.813	-3.553
	R95	9.709	.054	.158	178.295	.000	9.602	9.816
	R96	1.234	.041	.027	29.756	.000	1.153	1.315
	R97	2.090	.042	.041	49.452	.000	2.007	2.172
	R100	3.078	.045	.058	67.657	.000	2.989	3.167
1	R103	1.058	.092	.009	11.500	.000	.877	1.238
	R104	3.045	.069	.036	43.966	.000	2.909	3.180
	R109	.848	.060	.012	14.216	.000	.731	.965
	R110 R120	10.127	.100	.077	101.262	.000	9.931	10.323
1	R120	4.353	.088	.038	49.731	.000	4.182	4.525
	R122	9.943	.080	.098	124.250	.000	9.786	10.100
1	D126	1.519	.066	.019	22.962	.000	1.389	1.648
	P120	335	.095	003	-3.512	.000	522	148
	R141	-1.245	.0/8	.013	-6.352	000	-1.479	. 704
	R142	3 71 3	107	005	25 414	.000	2 602	2 0 24
	R144	. 724	126	.019	-5.414	.000	-1 000	2.921
	R145	-1 202	.130	004	-7.812	000	-1 504	400
	R160	1 468	070	017	21.037	000	1 331	1 605
	R162	5 528	121	034	45 619	000	5 291	5.766
	R165	1.607	.043	.035	36.991	.000	1.522	1.692
a Dene	andant Variable: Av. SP. K	MH						



# Analysis - Streetcar speed model

		(Constant)
N	780.705	Direction
	,	Time-point sequence
Adjusted R	0.22	Number of scheduled stops
Square	0.32	Adjacent segment (within 200 meters)
		Segment with a layover
F	(33, 780680)	Segment distance (KM)
statistics	12193	Streetcar CLRV (Base case)
E ein	0	Streetcar bus
F sig.	U	Streetcar ALRV
		Streetcar Flexity
		Distance to Union Station (KM)
		Morning peak
<b>Bold</b> indicate	es statistical	Midday (Base case)
eignificence		Afternoon peak
significance		Early evening
*** Significan	nt at 99%	Late evening
** Significant	at 95%	Subway station ridership (in thousands)
* Significant	at 00%	Subway station ridership^2
Significant	al 90%	Trips starting during normal operations (base
		Trips starting within 5 minutes of an incident



Transportation Research Institute

# Sensitivity analysis- Streetcar

Streetcar line with median speed: Route 501-Westbound- CLRV
Average speed during different type periods

	Morning Midday Afternoon Early peak period peak evening		Late evening		%						
	Speed (Km/h)	%	Speed (Km/h)	%	Speed (Km/h)	%	Speed (Km/h)	%	Speed (Km/h)	%	~
Trips starting during normal operations	11	.02	9	.52	8	.80	10.69		10.69 13.50		
Trips starting within 5 minutes of an incident	11.02	0.0%	9.52	0.0%	8.80	0.0%	10.69	0.0%	13.50	0.0%	0.0%
Trips starting within 5-10 minutes of an incident	9.62	-12.7%	8.12	-14.7%	7.39	-16.0%	9.28	-13.1%	12.10	-10.4%	-13.4%
Trips starting within 10-20 minutes of an incident	9.85	-10.6%	8.35	-12.3%	7.63	-13.3%	9.52	-10.9%	12.33	-8.6%	-11.1%
Trips starting within 20-30 minutes of an incident	9.96	-9.7%	8.45	-11.2%	7.73	-12.1%	9.62	-10.0%	12.44	-7.9%	-10.2%
Trips starting within 30-60 minutes of an incident	9.88	-10.4%	8.37	-12.0%	7.65	-13.0%	9.54	-10.7%	12.36	-8.5%	-10.9%
Trips starting within 60+ minutes of an incident	9.22	-16.3%	7.72	-18.9%	7.00	-20.4%	8.89	-16.8%	11.70	-13.3%	-17.2%
Averages	9.9	-9.9%	8.4	-11.5%	7.7	-12.5%	9.6	-10.3%	12.4	-8.1%	

% of change in speed = (trip speed during an incident category - trip speed during normal operations)/ trip speed during normal operations



# Analysis - Bus speed model

			0		95% Conf. Interval		
			Coeff.		Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
Ν	1.172.542	(Constant)	14.21	266.5 ***	14.11	14.32	
	.,,•	Direction	0.29	21.20 ***	0.26	0.32	
Adjusted	0.20	Time-point sequence	0.03	11.51 ***	0.03	0.04	
R Square	0.39	Number of scheduled stops	-0.21	-49.56 ***	-0.22	-0.20	
-	(04 4470504)	Adjacent segment (within 200 meters)	-3.97	-3.91			
F.	(61, 1172521) Segment with a layover		-7.69	-336.5 ***	-7.74	-7.65	
statistics	25431	Segment distance (KM)	2.83	204.1 ***	2.81	2.86	
F sia.	0	Distance to Union Station (KM)	0.17	44.21 ***	0.16	0.17	
	·	Morning peak	0.48	24.83***	0.45	0.52	
		Midday (Base case)					
		Afternoon peak	-2.09	-112.7***	-2.12	-2.05	
		Early evening	1.97	87.82***	1.92	2.01	
Bold indicates statistical		Late evening	6.38	273.9***	6.34	6.43	
significance	9	Subway station ridership (in thousands)	-0.03	-12.40***	-0.04	-0.03	
*** Signific:	ant at 99%	Subway station ridership^2	0.00	13.86***	0.00	0.00	
** Significo	a = 0.5%	Trips starting during normal operations (base case)					
	ni al 95%	Trips starting within 5 minutes of an incident	0.06	0.32	-0.32	0.44	
Significan	it at 90%	Trips starting within 5-10 minutes of an incident	0.14	0.74	-0.23	0.51	
		Trips starting within 10-20 minutes of an incident	0.02	0.13	-0.26	0.30	
		Trips starting within 20-30 minutes of an incident	-0.29	-2.03**	-0.58	-0.01	
		Trips starting within 30-60 minutes of an incident	-0.60	<b>-2.58</b> ***	-1.05	-0.14	
		Trips starting within 60+ minutes of an incident	-3.55	-1.37	-8.62	1.53	
		Time-point after an incident	-0.43	-11.21***	-0.51	-0.36	
A4		Incident in same direction of travel	0.36	1.77*	-0.04	0.75	



# Sensitivity analysis- Streetcar

For bus line with median speed: Route 96 - Eastbound
Average speed during different type periods

	Morning peak	Midday period	Afternoon peak	Early evening	Late evening	%
	Speed (Km/h) %	Speed (Km/h) %	Speed (Km/h) %	Speed (Km/h) %	Speed % (Km/h)	~
Trips starting during normal operations	17.02	16.53	14.45	18.50	22.92	
Trips starting within 5 minutes of an incident	17.02 0.0%	16.53 0.0%	14.45 0.0%	18.50 0.0%	22.92 0.0%	0.0%
Trips starting within 5-10 minutes of an incident	17.02 0.0%	16.53 0.0%	14.45 0.0%	18.50 0.0%	22.92 0.0%	0.0%
Trips starting within 10-20 minutes of an incident	17.02 0.0%	16.53 0.0%	14.45 0.0%	18.50 0.0%	22.92 0.0%	0.0%
Trips starting within 20-30 minutes of an incident	16.72 -1.7%	16.24 -1.8%	14.15 -2.0%	18.21 -1.6%	22.62 -1.3%	-1.7%
Trips starting within 30-60 minutes of an incident	16.42 -3.5%	15.94 -3.6%	13.85 -4.1%	17.90 -3.2%	22.32 -2.6%	-3.4%
Trips starting within 60+ minutes of an incident	17.02 0.0%	16.53 0.0%	14.45 0.0%	18.50 0.0%	22.92 0.0%	0.0%
Averages	16.9 -0.9%	16.4 -0.9%	14.3 -1.0%	18.4 -0.8%	22.8 -0.6%	

% of change in speed = (trip speed during an incident category - trip speed during normal operations)/ trip speed during normal operations



# Conclusions

- Subway incidents have more immediate and long lasting negative impacts on streetcar service than for buses
- Reflecting the TTC practice of **directing users to** streetcar service when a service interruption occurs along the south section of the subway system (U-shaped section)
- Also, reflecting the used protocols of deploying less buses for shuttle service along the south section of the subway system where parallel streetcar service is available



#### **Second project:**

Shuttle service optimizing: Determining the optimal number of buses and routes to pull from to minimize the transit users' excess waiting time

Padmanie Maulkhan University of Toronto - Industrial Engineering undergraduate student



# TTC's protocols

• TTC retracts buses from scheduled routes with high service frequencies according to certain criteria

	DAILY BUSES ALLOCATION Y.U.S / B.D											
			30 MINS +	30 MINS +	30 MINS +	1 - 30 MINS	1 - 30 MINS	1 - 30 MINS				
	TIME FRAME	Total buses	1 - 4 STATIONS	5 - 9 STATIONS	10 + STATIONS	1 - 4 STATIONS	5 - 9 STATIONS	10 + STATIONS				
;			3.33%	6.66%	10%							
A.M	6 - 9 AM	1325	44	88	133	22	44	66				
MID	9 AM – 3 PM	881	29	59	88	15	29	44				
PM	3 – 7 PM	1426	47	95	143	24	47	71				
E.E.	7- 10 PM	819	27	55	82	14	27	41				
LE.	10PM - 1AM	506	17	34	51	8	17	25				

Buses dispatched equally from each of the seven divisions managed by TTC





# Current problem

However, TTC does not have a clear methodology for selecting buses for shuttle service, resulting in:

- Excessive deadhead time
  - Sometimes longer than expected delay
    - In 2015, the average shuttle bus delay is 86 minutes (from bus pull-out time until bus return), while the average subway incident delay is 34 minutes.
- Passengers waiting longer than necessary
- Dispatched buses < requested buses</li>



# **Research objective**

- Enhance transit system resiliency:
  - by minimizing the impacts of shuttle service employment on bus system, helping the bus system to return to normal operations as quickly as possible





# Research approach

- A shuttle service optimization model is currently under development to:
  - determine optimal routes from which to pull buses from to provide a shuttle service
  - find the optimal number of buses to pull



# **Research approach - Objective Function**

• With a main goal of minimizing the excess waiting time for all TTC's customers that are:

– directly affected (on the subway line), and

indirectly affected (on routes where buses are pulled from)



# **Research approach -Constraints**

- Total number of pulled buses should not exceed recommended maximum number of buses by TTC
- Buses must arrive to site before expected disruption time
- Removal of a bus from *route i* will not send it over crush capacity
- Removal of a bus from *route i* will not increase headway to more than 30 mins (TTC Policy headway)



# Still in progress...

- Preparation of data inputs is taking place (Candidate bus routes: frequency, demand, loading factor, distance from route terminal to incident location, etc.)
- Optimization Model formatting is in progress...



# Thank you!

ICITY - ORF 2<sup>ND</sup> ANNUAL STUDENT PRESENTATIONS JUNE 26, 2017

Ehab Diab ehab.diab@utoronto.ca

