Modelling Household Travel Decisions

A Travel Scheduling and Resource Allocation Model for a Mid-Sized Canadian City

The Household Travel Problem

Each person in a household has a set of **activities** for a typical day, including: **Mandatory Activities**, which *must be completed* and are prioritized first





School Work **Discretionary Activities**, which *may be deferred* to another day





Shopping

Service Recreation Social Each activity has different: *Location*, *Duration* and *Possible Start Times*

The household also has a set of **shared resources** for travel to activities:









Vehicles

Independence or Supervision for Dependents

Using available resources, each person must choose one of the following modes to travel to each activity:









A person might *defer* or *cancel* an activity if there are no available resources. Over the long term, if a household continues to defer or cancel activities, it may *move to a new location* or *purchase a vehicle* to change it resource set.

The household must collectively allocate resources and schedule activities so that its members may achieve its activities within the resource constraints. Additional complexity arises if there are dependents (e.g. children or seniors).

Research Objectives and Approach

The household travel problem is fundamental to both transport and land use systems. Therefore, we seek to understand and model the household travel decision making process. In particular, we are interested in:

- How do households allocate resources vehicles, time, and supervision to conduct their activities?
- How do households prioritize their various activities?
- What factors, other than time and cost, do households consider when making travel decisions?

In this research, we take the following empirical approach:

- Survey households of various demographic backgrounds and compositions
- Establish general rules that reflect the logic and process of travel decisions
- Develop and test the model using actual activity sets from households





Kevin Yeung, MASc | Jeff Casello, PhD, PE School of Planning | Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Waterloo | Canada

Household Travel Survey Insights

Activity Priorities		Decision Motivators		
Activity Type	Avg. Priority	Motivation	Avg. Rating	
Work / School	1.0	Achieving Activities	1.6	
Service	3.0	Maximize Flexibility	2.0	
Grocery Shopping	3.6	Minimize Cost	2.9	
Social	3.9	Lower Env. Impact	3.0	
Recreational	4.6	Achieving Fitness	3.9	
Other Shopping	6.0			

Note: If dependent in household, Rating 1 (very important) Chaperone activity has priority of 2. Rating 5 (not very important)

Calibrating and Validating Model Utility Function

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t _{statistic}	Significance
β_{time}	-0.0935	0.0031	-29.7470	***
β_{cost}	-1.0698	0.0767	-13.9429	***
$\beta_{mode, transit}$	-0.5479	0.0812	-6.7465	***
$eta_{mode,bike}$	-4.7574	0.0983	-48.3965	***
$\beta_{mode, walk}$	-0.7249	0.0536	-13.5150	***

Log-likelihood = -7008.2; ρ^2 = 0.1046

Model Test Results

Metric	Accuracy	
# of Activities	18 / 21	Mode
# of Tours	14 / 21	Mode
Mode choice (First Tour)	13 / 21	Model not in
Chaperone Assignment	2/2	One h Initial

nousehold with two dependents tested in model. results should be confirmed with more tests. Model has fast run times and may process 10,000 households in 15 min.

Conclusions

A model to schedule activities and allocate resources was developed for a mid-sized Canadian city. The model was tested with preliminary data with reasonable success to provide initial confidence in the model logic.

This model is part of a broader modelling effort that identifies changes to travel behaviour and residential location patterns in Kitchener-Waterloo.

Contact Information

Kevin Yeung: <u>kklyeung@uwaterloo.ca</u> | Jeff Casello: <u>jcasello@uwaterloo.ca</u>

Project Support





Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada





Based on 2011 Transportation Tomorrow Survey for Kitchener-Waterloo • Function calibrated with 14,000 trips and validated with 6,800 trips • Function calculates mode share within +/- 0.2% of actual mode share

Significance codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

• Model tested with 21 persons (9 households) from Kitchener-Waterloo • Model attempts to schedule activity within 15 min. of reported start time **Model Limitation**

only inserts activities at end of tour

typically underestimates number of tours.

underestimates cost of parking. Model also does clude all mode choice preferences.



