

COVID-19 influenced Households' Interrupted Travel Schedules (COVHITS) Survey: Fall 2020 Cycle Report

Kaili Wang, Yicong Liu, Brendan Reilly, Khandker Nurul Habib January 2021





# COVID-19 influenced Households' Interrupted Travel Schedules (COVHITS) Survey: Fall 2020 Cycle Report

January 2021

COVHITS Survey 2020

**Correspondence**: khandker.nurulhabib@utoronto.ca Kaili Wang, Yicong Liu, Brendan Reilly Professor Khandker Nurul Habib

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
FURTHER INFORMATION	5
BACKGROUND	6
AREA OF COVERAGE	7
SURVEY MAGNITUDE AND TIMEFRAME	8
SURVEY CONTENT	9
REPORT CONTENT	10
DATA KEY	11
HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS	11
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	11
COMPARABILITY OF 2020 COVHITS SURVEY AND THE 2016 TTS	13
AREA SUMMARIES	15
THE STUDY AREA CITY OF TORONTO REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK	18 21
REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON	
LESSONS LEARNED FROM PHASE 1 OF COVHITS SURVEY	
APPENDIX	

## **Executive Summary**

The 2020 COVHITS Survey was conducted to collect post-'first wave of COVID-19' passenger travel demand data for residents of four regional municipalities, the study area: the City of Toronto and the Regional Municipalities of Halton, Peel, and York. The main objective was to collect observed/revealed data of daily (weekday) passenger travel of the study area. The survey was a household travel survey. The survey sample was relatively small compared to that of a TTS (a once-per-5-years regional household travel survey) but carefully designed to get sufficient data for benchmarking travel demand changes resulting from COVID-19 restrictions in each of the four regions.

The survey was conducted by randomly recruiting people from on-line survey panels. The survey structure and implementation time were made compatible with those of 2016 TTS as much as possible so that the results could be evaluated considering the 2016 TTS context as the base case. The final dataset of the 2020 COVHITS Survey includes single weekday travel diaries of all members (6 years or older) of a total of 3,721 households across the four regions. This includes a total of 6,948 reported weekday trips. Collected samples of each of the four regions are weight-adjusted separately to make those representatives to the corresponding regional population. This is a relatively small sample survey (compared to regional travel surveys, e.g., the TTS) and is prone to be skewed towards specific population segments (e.g., smaller household size and younger people). So, each region's datasets are pooled and further weight-adjusted to represent the population distributions across these four regions.

COVID-19 caused a large drop in urban passenger mobility, as reflected in our COVHITS data. COVHITS average weekday trip rates in the study area are 2.0 trips per household, compared with 5.2 trips per household, the value observed in the 2016 TTS. All four municipalities experience large drops in



weekday household trip rates. One of the main possible reasons for the large decrease in trip rates is the large increase in immobility (no trip at all in a day).

The proportion of respondents reporting no

trips at all on the survey day increased significantly. For comparison, overall in the study area, 22% of TTS 2016 respondents did not report making any trips on their survey day, while in COVHITS, this rate of zero-trip making is 62%. This results in average daily weekday trips per person in the study area

much lower in COVHITS, at 0.84 trips per day, compared to 2.2 trips per day in the 2016 TTS.

COVHITS 2016 TTS

5 6

0 1 2 3 4

Weekday Household Trip Rates

Halto

Pee

Yor

Toronto

COVHITS 2016 TTS

COVHITS survey data indicate COVID-19 may be considerably affecting commuting trip rates. Average weekday commuting trips per worker dropped from 0.83 trips per day to 0.35 trips per day in the study area. This results from a large increase in work from home practices due to the pandemic. Overall, in the four regions, while 14% of employed COVHITS respondents reported working exclusively from home before the pandemic, 46% of these same employed respondents reported working exclusively from home in the Fall of 2020.



The morning (AM) peak period of the trips generated by the respondents flattened to some extent indicating more distributed trip generation across the day than before. Toronto experienced the highest drop in morning peak-period share of daily trip generations.

In terms of modal shares of trips generated by the respondents in the study area, the modal share of driving in the AM peak period increased from 55% (in 2016 TTS) to 63% (in COVHITS). Similarly, the modal share of driving in daily trip generations

increased from 58% (in 2016 TTS) to 66% (in COVHITS) across the study area. Toronto experienced the highest hike in modal shares of driving.



COVHITS 2016 TTS

In Toronto, AM peak transit modal shares of trips generated by its residents

dropped from 30% (in 2016 TTS) to 20% (in COVHITS). Transit modal shares of daily trips generation dropped from 6% (in 2016 TTS) to 3% (in COVHITS) in York and from 8% (in 2016 TTS) to 3% (in COVHITS) in Peel.



Non-motorized modes (walk and cycle) gained modal shares in all regions. Overall, the study area experienced an increase from 9% (in 2016 TTS) to 16% (in COVHITS) of 'walk & cycle' modal shares in daily weekday trips generated by its residents. Toronto experienced the highest increase in modal shares of non-motorized modes.

COVHITS survey data reveals that the median trip lengths of driving trips generated by the regions' residents and attracted to the regions increased across the regions. Overall in the

study are, the median distance of generated daily driving trips increased from 6.4 km (in 2016 TTS) to 11.5 km (in COVHITS). The median transit trip distance of generated daily transit trips increased from 7.0 km (in 2016



TTS) to 12.2 km (in COVHITS) in the overall study area. All regions except York experienced increases in median transit trip distances.

The COVHITS Survey collected additional (respondent-stated) information on e-shopping and transit usages. We do not have similar information in the pre-COVID TTS or similar other surveys to compare against. These respondent-stated data indicate that, in the study area, 50% of households experienced ordering meals online, 46% of households experienced ordering groceries online, and 26% of households experienced purchasing clothing online in Fall 2020. Respondents of the COVHITS survey who were transit users (made at least 1 transit trip in the survey week) were asked to state their purposes of transit trips. Data revealed that going to restaurants (perhaps to pick up meals) was the most dominant (highest percentage of respondents') purpose of transit trips in all regions except in Peel (where shopping was the most dominant purpose). However, going shopping (perhaps grocery) was the most frequent (highest number of times per week) purpose of transit trips in all four regions.

The Fall 2020 COVHITS Survey provides a snapshot of daily life for a sample of residents across the four regions. COVHITS Survey data indicate that COVID-19 altered people's daily activity-travel patterns. A high rate of work from home and the necessity of social distancing seems to have translated into a higher rate of daily immobility, higher dependence on private cars, and longer driving distances. These are signs of changes in travel patterns in the region, but how long the effects of these changes will stay and continue to evolve depends on how long COVID-19 continues to affect public life.

## Acknowledgements

The Fall 2020 **COV**ID-19 influenced **H**ouseholds' Interrupted **T**ravel **S**chedules (**COVHITS**) Survey was sponsored by and conducted on behalf of a consortium of regional municipalities, the provincial government and its agency, and a transit operator in the Greater Toronto area. These are:

City of Toronto Metrolinx Ministry of Transportation, Ontario Regional Municipality of Halton Regional Municipality of Peel Regional Municipality of York Toronto Transit Commission

Staff from these organizations and staff from the Data Management Group (DMG) at the Department of Civil & Mineral Engineering, University of Toronto, comprise the COVHITS Survey Technical Committee (TAC) members. This report is prepared for this consortium by the research group of Professor Khandker Nurul Habib with guidance from the DMG. The contributions of the TAC members to the production of this report and the DMG's ongoing work are gratefully acknowledged.

# **Further Information**

The COVHITS Surveys are parts of a specialized data collection program triggered by the extraordinary contexts of COVID-19's global pandemic-induced travel bans and the ceasing of urban residents' activities. It is sponsored by some member organizations of the Transportation Information Steering Committee (TISC), which also conducted the Transportation Tomorrow Surveys (TTS). The TTS survey datasets (2016, 2011, 2006, 2001, 1996, 1991, and 1986) are currently under the care of the DMG. The DMG is also responsible for maintaining the COVHITS survey databases and making available appropriate travel information for any urban transportation study in the area by the sponsoring organizations. Requests for information from the COVHITS survey should be directed to the address below.

Data Management Group Department of Civil & Mineral Engineering University of Toronto 35 St. George Street Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A4 Tel: (416) 978-3913 Fax: (416) 978-3941 Email: info@dmg.utoronto.ca Web: www.dmg.utoronto.ca

# Background

COVID-19 has changed people's travel patterns. Amid uncertainties in the pandemic's future recurrences in various scales and forms, it is unclear when the new normal situation (with respect to daily travel demand) will return and what the new normal will look. The disruption in daily lives, especially social distancing, the mass-experience in telecommuting, e-shopping, and online social/religious activities, may change the travel behaviour of urban residents. Real/revealed ground-truth data/observations on travel demand at different stages of post-COVID-19 lockdown would provide data to assess the effects of lockdown and travel demand returning to 'normalcy'.

The Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) has been the core travel demand dataset in the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH) since 1986. The latest TTS was in 2016, and the next one is planned to be in 2021-2022. However, future datasets will benefit from reference data of the same kind in Fall 2020. The COVHITS survey is designed to gather such reference data on passenger travel demand in the Greater Toronto Area. The core of the survey maintains a similar structure to the TTS. However, to capture behavioural changes (that may have already happened), it includes additional questions on topics such as telecommuting and flexible office hours.

Two cycles of COVHITS are planned, and the first cycle was completed in Fall 2020. This report presents a summary of Fall 2020 COVHITS survey results.

# Area of coverage

The coverage area of the COVHITS survey was defined by the participant organizations in the consortium and thus composed of the City of Toronto and Regional Municipalities of Halton, Peel, and York.

						PAR	TICI	PATI	NG J	URIS	DICT	IONS									
Survey	City of Hamilton	City of Toronto	Regional Municipality of Durham	Regional Municipality of Halton	Regional Municipality of Peel	Regional Municipality	City of Kawartha Lakes	City of Barrie	City of Brantford	City of Guelph	City of Orillia	City of Peterborough	County of Brant	County of Dufferin	County of Peterborough	County of Simcoe	County of Wellington	-	regional municipanty of Niagara	Regional Municipality of Waterloo	Town of Orangeville
2020 COVHITS Survey		•		•	•	•															
2016 TTS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•

## Survey magnitude and timeframe

Fall 2020 COVHITS survey was conducted using a random sample of residents drawn from online panels. Considering the constraints of timeline and budget, the use of online panels was determined to be the best option for participant recruitment for this study. The survey sample size requirement calculation took into consideration the maximum possible uncertainty in travel behaviour change and a reasonable design factor. As such, a minimum of 775 completed surveys per region (Toronto, Halton, Peel, and York) was sought.



A total of 5,065 households were completed in the survey over a 1-month period of time (October 20 to November 20, 2020).

After cleaning (for missing information and inconsistent travel diary related responses) the collected datasets, the responses from the total of 3,721 households are retained. This includes 1,089 households from Toronto, 777 households from Halton, 913 households from Peel, and 942 households from York. The final dataset is composed of 8,096 individuals from 3,721 households in the study area, with a total of 6,948 recorded weekday trips.

UNEXP	ANDED/UNADJUSTE	ED RECORDS FOR TH	E STUDY AREA												
Survey	Survey Households Persons Trips														
2020 COVHITS	3,721	8,096	6,948												
2016 TTS	162,708	2016 TTS 162,708 395,885 798,093													

Page | 9

#### **Survey content**

The COVHITS survey is a retrospective survey of travel taken by every member (age 6 or over) of the household during the weekday prior to the web contact. The survey is a web-based survey implemented in the TRAISI<sup>1</sup> platform.

											INF	ORM	IATIC	ON CO	DLLEC	TED											
						De	mogra	aphic I	nform	ation								Tra	vel Inf	ormat	tion			(	Other in	format	ion
			ouseh racter			Person Characteristics											Nature of Trip			Means of Travel				Shopping		Transit	Work Place Arrangement
	Dwelling unit type	Number of Persons	Vehicles Available	Adult Bikes Available	Household Income	Age	Gender	Possession of Driver's License	Usual Place of Work Location	Usual Place of School Location	Free Parking at Usual Place of Work	Possession of Transit Pass	Occupation Type	Work at Home	Travel modes to work – Pre COVID	Start time	Purpose of Trip	Origin and Destination Points	Travel Mode	Vehicle Occupancy	Used ETR407	Detailed Transit Routes	GO Train & Subway Stations used	In-store shopping frequency	Online shopping frequency	Transit usage by purpose	Work Place Arrangements
2020 COVHITS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0
2016 TTS	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TRAISI stands for 'Travel Activity Internet Survey Interface'. It is a software system developed to design passenger travel surveys with optimum interactions with the survey respondents through graphical, map and transit scheduling app interfaces. The software system is developed as a part of the TTS2.0 project, led by Professor Khandker Nurul Habib and sponsored by the TISC.

## **Report content**

The purpose of this report is to summarize the Fall 2020 COVHITS survey results according to regional boundaries. The summary provides demographic and travel characteristics presented in tabular format at the two levels of detail: The overall study area and each of the four Regional Municipalities (Toronto, Peel, York, and Halton).

Corresponding data from the 2016 TTS are presented in this report as reference points. The overall TTS and the COVHITS surveys differ in survey area as shown by the participating jurisdictions and as explained in the section of 'Area of Coverage'. Note that the reported 2016 TTS statistics are of the study area of the COVHITS survey only.

The information presented includes socio-demographic and travel characteristics. In addition to presenting the magnitude of the trips coming into and leaving an area, the summary tables also describe travel characteristics such as travel purpose, trip start time, travel distance, and travel mode choices. Definitions of terms are listed on the next page.

The samples of each municipality of the COVHITS survey are weight-adjusted to the corresponding municipality's key population statistics (household size and age distribution). This is to ensure that the samples are true representation of corresponding populations. Statistics for the whole study area presented in this report are based on the pooled samples that are further weight-adjusted to the relative proportions of the corresponding total population of the municipalities. Numeric figures presented in this report are rounded.

# Data Key

HOUSEHOLD CHARACT	TERISTICS
Households	Total number of households in the area
Dwelling Type	Distribution of households by dwelling type: house, townhouse, or apartment.
Household Size	Distribution of households by the number of persons in residence at the time of the survey interview.
Number of Available Vehicles	Distribution of households by number of vehicles available to the household for personal use.
Number of Available	Distribution of households by number of adult bikes available to
Adult Bikes	the household for personal use.
Household Income	Distribution of households' annual income before tax.
Household Averages:	
Persons/household	Total population divided by total number of households.
Workers/household	Total number of employed persons (full-time, part-time, work-
Delivery /Levenshall	from-home) divided by total number of households.
Drivers/household	Total number of persons in possession of a driver's licence divided by the total number of households. The calculation
	excludes a small % of households for which the total number of
	drivers was unknown.
Vehicles/household	Total number of vehicles available for personal use divided by the
	total number of households.
Trips/day/household	Total number of daily trips made by persons age 6 and over
	divided by the total number of households.
POPULATION CHARAC	TERISTICS
Records	Total population residing in private dwellings in the area at the
	time of the survey. Excludes residents living in collective
	dwellings (who were not surveyed). For COVHITS survey, records
4	will be the total number of records collected in each region.
Age Median Age	Distribution of population by age group. 50% of the population are above and 50% are below the median
Weuldh Age	age.
Daily Trips per Person	For TTS, number of trips made by persons aged 11 and over
a sing mips per reison	divided by the number of persons aged 11 and over. For
	COVHITS, number of trips made by persons aged 6 and over
	divided by the number of persons aged 6 and over.
Daily Work Trips per	Number of work trips made by employed persons divided by the
Daily WORK Hips per	

Employment Type	Full time outside the home, part-time outside the home, work at
	home (full-time or part-time).
Student	% of population who are students.
Licensed	% of population with a valid driver's licence. Persons with
	unknown licence status were excluded from the calculation.
Transit pass	% of population in possession of a valid transit pass. Persons with
· ·	unknown data were excluded from the calculation.
Workplace	Usual place of work. WFH only: work from home only; Hybrid:
Arrangement	mix of work outside of home and work from home; WOHO only:
Ŭ	work outside of home only; No usual place: no usual place of
	work, no fixed work location.
Usual Mode of Travel	Typical/Usual mode of travel to work.
to Work	
Study Arrangement	Usual place of school arrangement. SFH only: study from home
Study An angement	only; Hybrid: mix of study from home and go to school; Go to
	School: travel to school to study.
	School, daver to school to study.
TRAVEL CHARACTERIS	TICS
Trip Rates	For TTS, number of trips made by persons (residents of a
	designated region) aged 11 and over divided by the number of
	persons (residents of a designated region) aged 11 and over. For
	COVHITS, number of trips made by persons (residents of a
	designated region) aged 11 and over as well as aged 6 and over
	are divided by the corresponding number of persons (residents of
	a designated region).
Trips Made by	Survey statistics for all trips made by population residing within
Residents of the Area	the given geography reported on.
Trips Made to the	Survey statistics for all trips with a destination within the given
Area	geography reported on, whether made by residents of the given
Aica	
Alca	
Alca	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area.
Time Period	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area.
	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area. Two time periods are reported: the morning peak travel period of
	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area.
	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area. Two time periods are reported: the morning peak travel period of
	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area. Two time periods are reported: the morning peak travel period of
	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area. Two time periods are reported: the morning peak travel period of
	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area. Two time periods are reported: the morning peak travel period of
	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area. Two time periods are reported: the morning peak travel period of
	geography or by residents of all other geographies included in the Study Area. Two time periods are reported: the morning peak travel period of

Р	а	g	е	12	
		•			

Trips	Total estimated average trips for the reported time period on weekdays (estimates based on the survey data expanded to represent the total population).
Trip Purpose (for trips made by residents of the area): HB-W HB-S HB-D N-HB Trip Purpose (for trips to the area): Work	Distribution of all trips made by residents across the following categories: Home-based work: Home to work and work to home. Home-based school: Home to school and school to home. Home-based discretionary: All other home-based trips. Non-home-based: All trips where neither end is home. Distribution of all trips made to the area across the following categories: Destination purpose is work.
School	Destination purpose is school.
Home	Destination purpose is to return home.
Other	Other destination purpose, such as shopping, entertainment, pick
Modes of travel: Driver Pass. Transit GO Train Walk Cycle Other	someone up/drop someone off, etc. Automobile driver. Automobile passenger. Public transit (local transit). If a trip uses more than one mode category which includes public transit, then public transit is given preference as the primary mode. In cases where both GO Train and local transit were used, GO Train is the dominant classification. GO Train. In cases where both GO Train and local transit were used, GO Train is the dominant classification. Walk Bicycle Other modes of travel. Includes motorcycle, taxi, school bus, and all other modes.
Median Trip Length (km): - -	Trip length measured as the straight-line distance between the origin and destination coordinates of the trip within the GTHA. -Reported for trips with the following motorized modes: driver, passenger, transit, and GO Train. -Reported for trips with the following non-motorized mode: walk. -Reported for trips with the following non-motorized mode: bicycle

OTHER INFORMATION	
In-store shopping	The frequency of household's visiting stores in-person to
frequency	purchase merchandise in each category.
Online shopping	The frequency of household's using online stores purchase
frequency	merchandise in each category.
Transit usage	The frequency of individuals using transit for various activity
frequency	purposes.

# Comparability of 2020 COVHITS Survey and the 2016 TTS

Caution should be undertaken when comparing data between the 2020 COVHITS survey and the 2016 TTS. The comparability between datasets may be affected by several factors, including the coverage of the survey, sample size, how well the target population (residents of private households) is represented by the sample source used in the given dataset, and changes in survey methods.

The 2016 TTS has the following key characteristics.

- **Survey mode**: The 2016 TTS used a mix of computer-aided telephone interview (CATI) and computer-aided web interview (CAWI) survey method.
- **Coverage**: The 2016 TTS covered 5% of households in the survey area and could be easily expanded to the whole population.
- Sample frame: In 2016, an address-based sample frame was adopted to obtain coverage of all households, not just those with directory-listed telephone landlines. A portion of the random address sample was matched to listed phone numbers and received a high response in both telephone and online surveying. However, the 'address-only' portion of the sample, which received only a survey invitation letter, had a lower response. While it was necessary to use an address-only sample to achieve coverage of cell-phone-only households, there is likely higher non-response bias in this portion of the sample. However, this is compensated for in part by data weighting.
- Survey timeframe: The 2016 TTS was conducted over the 3 months in Fall: from September to December 2016.
- **Travel diary**: The 2016 TTS collected travel diaries of household members only aged 11 years or more.
- **Sample expansion**: The 2016 TTS is expanded to the population in the survey area. An iterative proportional fitting procedure was undertaken to adjust the household weights according to the following controls: dwelling type, household size, and household members' age by gender. As the method employed made household-level adjustments based on the age/gender demographics of all household members, 2016 expanded household counts in the survey data match the Census household counts.

The 2020 COVHITS has the following key characteristics:

- Survey mode: The 2020 COVHITS survey was conducted using a computer-aided web interview (CAWI) survey method only.
- **Coverage**: The 2020 COVHITS survey sample size was calculated as the minimum size required to draw regional statistical inferences and is very small compared to that of the TTS.
- **Sample frame**: The 2020 COVHITS survey was conducted using an online commercial survey panel as a sample frame only.
- **Survey timeframe**: The 2020 COVHITS survey was conducted over 1 month in Fall: from October to November 2020.
- Travel diary: The 2020 COVHITS Survey collected travel diaries of household members aged 6 years or more.
- **Sample weighting**: The 2020 COVHITS survey sample presented in this report was too small to be reliably expanded to the total population of the survey areas. However, to make the regional (as municipalities) sample representative to the corresponding population, a simple two factor (household size and age) based weights are estimated to make each regional sample as a random representative sample of their population. An iterative proportional fitting procedure is used to calculate sample weigh-adjustment values.

Readers should exercise caution while comparing statistics between the 2020 COVHITS survey and 2016 TTS due to the key survey characteristics differences mentioned above. However, this report presents key statistics of both surveys side-by-side, considering the 2016 TTS data as the reference dataset of regular Fall months of the year. To ensure compatibility:

- All TTS statistics that are presented in the report are of four regions (Toronto, Halton, Peel, and York) only, not of the whole TTS area.
- All TTS statistics are of expanded (to the full population) sample. The COVHITS survey statistics are of the weight-adjusted sample.



#### THE STUDY AREA

Travel Summaries December 2020

#### THE STUDY AREA

								H	OUSEH	OLD CH	IARAC	ERISTI	CS							
				ng Type		Household Size						Number o	f Availab	le Vehicle	s			Househo	d Averages	5
Househ (unweig		House	Townhouse	Apartment	other	1	2	ε	4	ς	0	1	2	3	4+	Persons	Workers	Drivers	Vehicles	Trips/Day
2016 TTS	2,093,200	46%	10%	44%	N/A	25%	28%	17%	17%	12%	17%	41%	32%	8%	3%	2.7	1.4	1.8	1.4	5.2
2020 COVHITS	327,185 (3,721)	58%	12%	28%	2%	25%	28%	17%	17%	12%	14%	44%	34%	7%	1%	2.7	1.6	1.9	1.6	2.1 (of age 6+) 2.0 (of age 11+)

				HOUS	EHOLD (	CHARA	CTERIST	ICS								
		Num	ber of Adul	t Bikes	_	Household Income										
	0	1	2	٤	4+	\$0 - \$14,999	666′6E\$ - 000′5T\$	\$40,000 - \$59,999	666′66\$ - 000′09\$	\$100,000 - \$124,999	\$125,000 and above	Decline / don't know				
2016 TTS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5%	14%	14%	21%	10%	18%	18%				
2020 COVHITS	44%	24%	22%	7%	2%	3%	12%	14%	28%	16%	20%	8%				

							PO	PULAT	TION CH	IARACT	ERI	STICS						
					Age		_	÷	er		Population	Em	ployment Ty	ype			Transit	
									(et	spe		inweighted)	Full	Part	At	Student	Licensed	Pass
									s pi	ir b	(0	inweighteu)	Time	Time	Home			1 4355
Population/records (unweighted)									rTrips age 1:	r k T Ska		2,744,000	46%	7%	4%	23%	69%	20%
		0-10	<b>31-1</b>	16-25	26-45	46-64	65+	Median	Daily T Person a	Daily Wo Wo	Male	415,586 (3,789)	45%	7%	7%	27%	68%	15%
2016 TTS	5,653,900	12%	6%	13%	29%	26%	14%	38.3	2.2	0.83	ale	2,909900	34%	10%	4%	22%	61%	22%
2020 COVHITS	873,671 (8,096)	12%	6%	13%	29%	26%	13%	39.0	0.84 (0.85)	0.35	Female	445,505 (4,190)	35%	10%	7%	26%	64%	14%

					PO	PULATI	ON CHA	RACTERIS	STIC					
	Curren	it Workpla	ice Arran	gement	Pre-CC	VID Workp	lace Arrange	ement	Pre-COVII	D Usual Moo to Work	le of Travel	Current	Study Arrai	ngement
	WFH only	Нурид	VOHO only	No usual place	WFH only	Нурид	VOHO only	No usual place	Auto Driver	Transit	Other	SFH only	Hybrid	Go to School
2016 Census	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7% N/A 81% 12% 62% 25% 13% N/A N/A N/A									
2020 COVHITS	46%	11%	38%	6%	14%	18%	60%	7%	65%	24%	10%	54%	25%	21%

	TRIP RAT	ES BY STU	DY AREA R	RESIDENTS											
	0 1 2 3 4 5+														
2016 TTS (11+)	22%	1%	49%	8%	11%	8%									
2020 COVHITS (6+)	61%	2%	31%	4%	2%	1%									
2020 COVHITS (11+)	62%	2%	30%	4%	2%	1%									

					Т	RIPS MA	DE BY RE	SIDENTS	OF THE S	STUDY A	REA						
Time	Trips	0/		Trip	Purpose				Mode o	of Travel				Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	(unweighted)	% 24hr	HB-W	HB-S	HB-D	N-HB	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cy	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cy	
	2,717,700	25.0%	50%	19%	22%	9%	55%	11%	17%	3%	11%	3%	8.2	3.5	8.0	1.3	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	142,433 (1,362)	22.0%	60%	24%	13%	3%	64%	8%	11%	N/A	15%	3%	13.6	4.7	11.1	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
Alvi	163,601 (1,463)	23.5%	52%	34%	11%	2%	55%	14%	10%	N/A	16%	5%	13.6	3.8	10.2	1.0	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	10,874,300		36%	12%	38%	14%	58%	13%	16%	1%	9%	3%	6.4	4.3	7.0	1.4	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	647,071 (6,715)		37%	11%	35%	18%	66%	8%	8%	N/A	16%	2%	11.5	6.1	12.2	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	696,803 (6,948)		34%	16%	33%	17%	62%	11%	8%	N/A	16%	3%	11.5	5.2	11.7	1.0	2020 COVHITS (6+)

				TRIPS	MADE TO	O THE ST	UDY ARE	A BY THE	RESIDE	VCES OF	THE STU	DY AREA					
Time	Trips	%		Trip	Purpose				Mode o	of Travel				Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	(unweighted)	% 24hr	Work	School	Home	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cy	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cy	
	2,650,618	24.8%	55%	20%	6%	19%	55%	11%	18%	3%	11%	3%	11.1	6.7	9.7	1.2	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	139,569 (1,320)	21.9%	58%	23%	6%	13%	63%	8%	11%	N/A	15%	3%	11.3	4.4	10.0	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
Alvi	160,549 (1,420)	23.4%	51%	33%	5%	11%	55%	14%	10%	N/A	16%	5%	11.3	3.7	9.3	1.0	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	10,700,208		22%	6%	44%	28%	58%	13%	16%	1%	9%	3%	10.4	8.1	9.1	1.3	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	636,772 (6,568)		22%	7%	45%	26%	66%	8%	8%	N/A	16%	2%	9.9	5.8	10.5	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	686,316 (6,800)		21%	10%	45%	25%	61%	11%	8%	N/A	17%	3%	9.9	5.1	10.1	1.0	2020 COVHITS (6+)

	OTHER	<b>INFORM</b>	ATION – H	ousehold	level res	ponses		
		n-Store Shopp	ing Frequency	/		Online Shoppi	ing Frequency	
	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other
None	33%	13%	72%	68%	50%	54%	74%	66%
Once in a month	20%	8%	18%	19%	15%	12%	15%	20%
Once every two weeks	13%	16%	5%	6%	12%	9%	6%	7%
Once a week	21%	40%	3%	4%	13%	17%	2%	4%
Twice a week	8%	16%	2%	2%	6%	5%	2%	2%
3 times or more a week	5%	7%	0%	1%	4%	3%	1%	1%

0	THER INFC	RMATION	l — Individu	al-level resp	onses	
	Transit U	sage Frequenc	•	r (who used transi eek) Only	t at least during	the survey a
	Work /school	Shopping	Restaurant	Recreation	Visiting	Other
None	74%	51%	31%	69%	58%	72%
Once a week	10%	13%	33%	13%	23%	12%
Twice a week	4%	8%	19%	5%	7%	4%
3 times a week	5%	12%	7%	6%	5%	3%
4 times a week	3%	7%	7%	3%	3%	7%
5 times a week	3%	6%	2%	1%	4%	2%
6 or more times a week	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%

# **CITY OF TORONTO - FORMER METROPOLITAN TORONTO**



#### **CITY OF TORONTO**

Travel Summaries December 2020

									HOUS	EHOLD	CHAR/	ACTERIS	TICS							
			Dwelli	ng Type			Ho	ousehold S	ize			Number of	of Available	e Vehicles				Househo	old Averag	25
	eholds eighted)	House	Townhouse	Apartment	Other	1	2	£	4	5	0	1	2	8	4+	Persons	Workers	Drivers	Vehicles	Trips/Day
2016 TTS	1,113,000	31%	6%	63%	N/A	32%	30%	16%	13%	9%	28%	48%	20%	4%	1%	2.4	1.4	1.5	1.0	4.6
2020 COVHITS	22,171 (1,089)	46%	9%	43%	2%	32%	30%	16%	13%	9%	22%	50%	23%	4%	1%	2.4	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.6 (of age 6+) 1.5 (of age 11+)

				H	OUSEHO	LD CHA	RACTERI	STICS				
	N	Number of	Available	Adult Bike	5				Household In	come		
	0	1	2	٤	++	\$0 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 -	666'66\$ - 000'09\$	\$100,000 - \$124,999	\$125,000 and above	Decline / don't know
2016 TTS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7%	17%	15%	21%	9%	16%	16%
2020 COVHITS	46%	25%	21%	7%	1%	4%	14%	16%	27%	15%	17%	6%

							PO	PULATI	ON CHA	RACTE	RIS'	TICS						
					Age				(+	<u> </u>		Demulation	Em	ployment Ty	ype			Treest
										s pe		Population Inweighted)	Full	Part	At	Student	Licensed	Transit Pass
									s per 11+ ((	Trips cer	, t	unweighted)	Time	Time	Home			PdSS
	on/records								rTrips age 1:	orke		1,286,500	45%	7%	4%	22%	68%	24%
(unwe	eighted)	0-10	11-15	16-25	26-45	46-64	65+	Median	Daily T Person a	Daily Work Worl	Male	25,474 (944)	45%	7%	8%	24%	67%	23%
2016 TTS	2,671,500	11%	5%	13%	31%	26%	14%	38.9	2.2	0.76		1,385,000	35%	10%	4%	21%	57%	26%
2020 COVHITS	52,452 (1,996)	11%	5%	13%	31%	26%	14%	40.0	0.71 (0.71)	0.33	Female	25,805 (1,001)	35%	9%	7%	23%	59%	23%

					PO	PULATIC	ON CHAR	ACTERIS	TICS					
	Curr	rent Workpl	ace Arrange	ment	Pre-CC	VID Workp	lace Arrange	ement	Pre-COVII	D Usual Mod to Work	le of Travel	Current	t Study Arrai	ngement
	WFH only	Hybrid	WOHO only	No usual place	WFH only	Hybrid	WOHO only	No usual place	Auto Driver	Transit	Other	SFH only	Hybrid	Go to School
2016 Census	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7%	N/A	81%	12%	46%	37%	17%	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020 COVHITS	48%	13%	33%	6%	15%	20%	58%	7%	54%	32%	14%	60%	20%	20%

TR	IP RATES	BY CITY OF	TORONT	O RESIDEN	ITS	
	0	1	2	3	4	5+
2016 TTS (11+)	22%	1%	49%	9%	11%	8%
2020 COVHITS (6+)	67%	2%	26%	3%	1%	0%
2020 COVHITS (11+)	67%	2%	26%	3%	1%	0%

					TF	RIPS MAD	E BY RES	IDENTS (	OF CITY C	OF TORO	NTO						
Time	Trips	%		Trip	Purpose				Mode o	of Travel				Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	(unweighted)	% 24hr	HB-W	HB-S	HB-D	N-HB	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cycle	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cycle	
	1,240,300	24.1%	54%	18%	20%	8%	44%	9%	30%	1%	14%	2%	7.0	3.8	7.4	1.4	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	6,518 (243)	19.6%	64%	24%	11%	2%	52%	8%	20%	N/A	17%	3%	16.7	3.5	7.7	1.2	2020 COVHITS (11+)
AIVI	7,171 (257)	20.4%	58%	31%	10%	1%	47%	13%	20%	N/A	17%	3%	16.7	3.4	7.3	1.2	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	5,141,800		36%	11%	38%	15%	46%	11%	27%	1%	13%	2%	5.5	4.1	6.5	1.4	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	33,224 (1,335)		38%	11%	33%	18%	53%	6%	15%	N/A	24%	2%	11.4	4.3	11.4	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	35,116 (1,371)		36%	15%	32%	18%	50%	9%	15%	N/A	24%	2%	11.4	4.3	11.0	1.1	2020 COVHITS (6+)

				TRIPS I	MADE TO	CITY OF	TORONT	ГО ВҮ ТН	E RESIDE	NCES OF	THE STU	DY AREA					
Time	Tring			Trip	Purpose				Mode o	of Travel				Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	Trips (unweighted)	% 24hr	Work	School	Home	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cycle	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cycle	
	1,375,560	25.8%	60%	19%	5%	17%	42%	9%	30%	5%	13%	2%	10.9	7.5	9.8	1.4	2016 TTS
6-9	11,432 (397)	26.5%	78%	13%	2%	8%	57%	6%	25%	N/A	10%	2%	16.8	5.8	17.2	2.5	2020 COVHITS (11+)
AM	12,306 (413)	27.1%	72%	19%	1%	7%	53%	11%	24%	N/A	10%	2%	16.8	8.4	16.6	2.3	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	5,327,702		24%	7%	41%	27%	46%	11%	27%	2%	13%	2%	9.5	7.9	8.6	1.4	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	43,136 (1,656)		37%	6%	34%	22%	58%	6%	15%	N/A	20%	1%	12.9	8.9	13.5	1.2	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	45,359 (1,695)		35%	8%	34%	22%	55%	9%	15%	N/A	20%	1%	12.9	8.3	13.2	1.2	2020 COVHITS (6+)

	OTHER	<b>INFORM</b>	ATION – H	lousehold	d level res	ponses		
		n-Store Shopp	ing Frequency	y		Online Shoppi	ing Frequency	
	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other
None	32%	6%	71%	68%	49%	56%	71%	66%
Once in a month	19%	10%	17%	17%	15%	14%	15%	18%
Once every two weeks	14%	19%	5%	6%	13%	11%	6%	7%
Once a week	20%	40%	3%	5%	12%	13%	3%	5%
Twice a week	10%	17%	4%	3%	7%	3%	4%	3%
3 times or more a week	5%	8%	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%

OTI	HER INFOR	MATION -	Individual	level respo	onses	
	Transit Usag	ge Frequency of	Transit User (w week	ho used transit ) Only	at least during	the survey a
	Work /school	Shopping	Restaurant	Recreation	Visiting	Other
None	69%	54%	27%	67%	54%	66%
Once a week	11%	12%	33%	13%	24%	14%
Twice a week	5%	6%	20%	5%	7%	4%
3 times a week	6%	11%	8%	7%	6%	4%
4 times a week	3%	7%	8%	4%	3%	9%
5 times a week	4%	5%	2%	2%	5%	2%
6 or more times a week	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%



#### **REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK**

Travel Summaries December 2020

#### **REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK**

									HOUS	EHOLD	CHARA	CTERIS	<b>FICS</b>							
			Dwelli	ng Type			Ho	ousehold S	ize			Number of	of Available	e Vehicles				Househo	ld Average	5
	eholds eighted)	House	Townhouse	Apartment	Other	1	2	ε	4	5-	0	1	2	ß	4+	Persons	Workers	Drivers	Vehicles	Trips/Day
2016 TTS	357,000	70%	12%	17%	N/A	15%	26%	20%	23%	15%	4%	30%	48%	13%	6%	3.1	1.7	1.9	2.1	5.9
2020 COVHITS	6,161 (942)	77%	14%	9%	1%	15%	26%	20%	23%	15%	3%	34%	52%	10%	2%	3.1	1.8	2.2	1.8	2.8(of age 6+) 2.5 (of age 11+)

				HOUSE	EHOLD (	HARA	CTERIST	ICS				
	Nu	Imber of A	Available Ac	lult Bikes				Но	usehold Inc	ome		
	0	1	2	٤	4+	\$0 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$59,999	666'66\$ - 000'09\$	\$100,000 - \$124,999	\$1.25,000 and above	Decline / don't know
2016 TTS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3%	11%	12%	20%	12%	22%	21%
2020 COVHITS	40%	23%	24%	7%	5%	1%	8%	10%	23%	20%	30%	9%

							PO	PULATI	ON CHA	RACTE	RIS	TICS						
					Age				÷	Ļ.		Demulation	Em	ployment T	ype			Treesit
	ulation/records							Б		Population unweighted)	Full	Part	At	Student	Licensed	Transit Pass		
									s per 11+ ((	lrips er		unweighteu)	Time	Time	Home			Pdss
									Trips age 1:			531,800	46%	6%	5%	24%	72%	13%
(unwe	eighted)	0-10	11-15	16-25	26-45	46-64	65+	Median	Daily T Person a	Daily Work Worl	Male	8,918 (1,047)	44%	8%	8%	29%	70%	7%
2016 TTS	1,091,000	13%	7%	13%	26%	28%	14%	40.7	2.2	0.74		559,200	34%	10%	4%	22%	67%	14%
2020 COVHITS	18,801 (2,248)	13%	7%	13%	26%	28%	14%	39.0	0.94 (0.98)	0.32	Female	9,699 (1,177)	35%	13%	7%	28%	69%	7%

					PO	PULATIC	ON CHAR	ACTERIS	TICS					
	Curr	rent Workpl	ace Arrange	ment	Pre-CC	OVID Workp	lace Arrange	ement	Pre-COVII	D Usual Mod to Work	le of Travel	Current	t Study Arrai	ngement
	WFH only	Hybrid	WOHO only	No usual place	WFH only	Hybrid	WOHO only	No usual place	Auto Driver	Transit	Other	SFH only	Hybrid	Go to School
2016 Census	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9%	N/A	80%	12%	77%	13%	10%	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020 COVHITS	49%	9%	36%	5%	15%	19%	59%	6%	74%	19%	7%	45%	31%	24%

	TRIP RATE	S BY YOR	( REGION	RESIDENTS	5	
	0	1	2	3	4	5+
2016 TTS (11+)	22%	1%	49%	8%	11%	8%
2020 COVHITS (6+)	56%	1%	37%	3%	2%	1%
2020 COVHITS (11+)	58%	1%	34%	4%	2%	1%

						TRIPS M	ADE BY R	RESIDENT	S OF YOF	<b>RK REGIO</b>	N						
Time	Trips			Trip	Purpose				Mode o	f Travel				Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	(unweighted)	% 24hr	HB-W	HB-S	HB-D	N-HB	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cycle	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cycle	
	541,600	25.7%	48%	20%	22%	9%	65%	12%	7%	3%	7%	4%	9.4	3.5	17.8	1.4	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	3,508 (408)	22.7%	59%	24%	14%	3%	74%	6%	5%	N/A	12%	3%	11.9	3.7	12.7	1.2	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	4,334 (442)	25.2%	47%	40%	11%	2%	60%	15%	4%	N/A	12%	8%	11.9	2.7	12.4	1.0	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	2,109,800		35%	12%	39%	15%	70%	15%	6%	2%	5%	3%	7.2	4.5	15.5	1.3	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	15,469 (1,927)		33%	11%	37%	19%	78%	8%	3%	N/A	10%	2%	11.9	6.0	13.2	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	17,177 (1,998)		30%	19%	34%	17%	70%	11%	3%	N/A	10%	5%	11.9	5.1	13.0	1.0	2020 COVHITS (6+)

				TRIP	S MADE	TO YORK	REGION	BY THE I	RESIDEN	CES OF TH	IE STUDY	AREA					
Time	Tring			Trip	Purpose				Mode o	of Travel				Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	Trips (unweighted)	% 24hr	Work	School	Home	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cycle	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cycle	
	458,496	23.2%	52%	21%	6%	21%	69%	13%	4%	0%	8%	5%	11.5	6.0	9.9	0.9	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	4,680 (320)	25.6%	60%	24%	6%	9%	74%	4%	6%	N/A	11%	6%	13.2	4.8	12.7	1.0	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	5,462 (352)	27.4%	51%	35%	5%	8%	64%	11%	5%	N/A	11%	9%	13.2	3.0	12.6	0.9	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	1,975,662		20%	5%	46%	29%	71%	15%	5%	1%	5%	3%	11.1	8.6	13.6	1.2	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	18,304 (1,719)		27%	7%	37%	28%	79%	7%	3%	N/A	8%	2%	12.0	6.6	12.7	1.0	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	19,968 (1,788)		25%	11%	39%	26%	73%	10%	3%	N/A	9%	6%	12.0	5.5	12.6	0.9	2020 COVHITS (6+)

	OTHER	INFORM/	ATION – H	lousehold	d level res	ponses		
	I	n-Store Shopp	ing Frequency	y		Online Shoppi	ng Frequency	
	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other
None	34%	25%	72%	69%	49%	46%	74%	65%
Once in a month	20%	7%	19%	21%	12%	7%	17%	22%
Once every two weeks	13%	13%	6%	6%	12%	10%	6%	8%
Once a week	22%	34%	2%	3%	19%	24%	1%	3%
Twice a week	8%	15%	0%	1%	5%	8%	1%	1%
3 times or more a week	4%	6%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%	0%

OTI	HER INFOR	MATION -	Individual	level respo	onses	
	Transit Usag	e Frequency of	Transit User (w week	/ho used transit ) Only	at least during	the survey a
	Work /school	Shopping	Restaurant	Recreation	Visiting	Other
None	87%	46%	38%	73%	69%	88%
Once a week	10%	13%	34%	14%	16%	4%
Twice a week	2%	17%	19%	7%	7%	2%
3 times a week	0%	8%	1%	2%	3%	0%
4 times a week	0%	7%	8%	4%	5%	5%
5 times a week	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%
6 or more times a week	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Page | 24



#### **REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL**

Travel Summaries December 2020

Fall 2020 COVHITS Survey

#### **REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL**

									HOUS	HOLD	CHARA	CTERIST	<b>FICS</b>							
			Dwelli	ng Type			Ho	ousehold S	ize			Number of	of Available	e Vehicles				Househo	ld Average	5
	eholds 2ighted)	House	Townhouse	Apartment	Other	1	2	3	4	ł5	0	1	2	3	44	Persons	Workers	Drivers	Vehicles	Trips/Day
2016 TTS	430,100	59%	13%	28%	N/A	16%	24%	19%	22%	19%	7%	36%	42%	12%	4%	3.1	1.7	1.7	2.1	5.8
2020 COVHITS	67,919 (913)	69%	14%	15%	2%	16%	24%	19%	22%	19%	6%	39%	43%	10%	1%	3.1	1.8	2.1	1.7	2.6 (of age 6+) 2.5 (of age 11+)

				HOUS	EHOLD (	CHARA	CTERIST	ICS				
	Nu	mber of A	Available Ac	lult Bikes				Но	usehold Inc	ome		
	0	1	2	٤	++	\$0 - \$14,999	- 000′21\$	\$40,000 - \$59,999	666′66\$ - 000′09\$	\$100,000 - \$124,999	\$125,000 and above	Decline / don't know
2016 TTS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4%	13%	15%	24%	11%	16%	19%
2020 COVHITS	45%	23%	22%	8%	2%	3%	10%	11%	34%	18%	18%	9%

							PO	PULATI	ON CHA	RACTE	RIS	TICS						
					Age				÷	L.		Deputation	Err	ployment Ty	/pe			Transit
									(e+	be		Population unweighted)	Full	Part	At	Student	Licensed	Transit Pass
									ă ±	Trips ter		unweighteu)	Time	Time	Home			PdSS
	on/records								Trips age 11			663,700	46%	7%	3%	25%	69%	20%
(unwe	eighted)	0-10	11-15	16-25	26-45	46-64	65+	Median	Daily T Person a	Daily Work Worl	Male	97,224 (980)	45%	6%	5%	29%	68%	9%
2016 TTS	1,352,100	13%	7%	14%	28%	26%	12%	38.0	2.1	0.75		688,500	33%	10%	3%	24%	61%	23%
2020 COVHITS	209,158 (2,106)	13%	7%	14%	28%	26%	12%	38.0	0.92 (0.93)	0.42	Female	110,861 (1103)	34%	8%	6%	29%	66%	8%

					PO	PULATIC	ON CHAR	ACTERIS	TICS					
	Curi	rent Workpl	ace Arrange	ment	Pre-CC	VID Workp	lace Arrange	ement	Pre-COVII	D Usual Moo to Work	le of Travel	Current	t Study Arrai	ngement
	WFH only	Hybrid	WOHO only	No usual place	WFH only	Hybrid	WOHO only	No usual place	Auto Driver	Transit	Other	SFH only	Hybrid	Go to School
2016 Census	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6%	N/A	82%	12%	74%	16%	10%	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020 COVHITS	37%	9%	47%	7%	12%	15%	66%	7%	75%	18%	8%	55%	24%	21%

	TRIP RATE	ES BY PEEL	REGION F	RESIDENTS		
	0	1	2	3	4	5+
2016 TTS (11+)	23%	1%	50%	7%	11%	7%
2020 COVHITS (6+)	58%	2%	35%	3%	1%	1%
2020 COVHITS (11+)	58%	2%	35%	4%	2%	1%

						TRIPS M	IADE BY I	RESIDENT	IS OF PE	EL REGIO	N						
Time	Trips	%		Trip	Purpose				Mode o	of Travel				Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	(unweighted)	24hr	HB-W	HB-S	HB-D	N-HB	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cycle	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cycle	
	660,200	26.5%	47%	22%	23%	9%	63%	13%	8%	4%	8%	5%	8.9	3.4	10.4	1.0	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	43,054 (366)	25.5%	59%	25%	13%	3%	68%	8%	5%	N/A	15%	4%	10.6	5.0	19.0	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
AIVI	47,869 (384)	26.7%	52%	33%	12%	3%	61%	14%	5%	N/A	15%	5%	10.6	4.4	19.0	0.9	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	2,495,400		37%	13%	37%	13%	67%	14%	8%	2%	6%	3%	7.3	4.5	8.7	1.0	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	168,528 (1,654)		41%	11%	33%	15%	73%	10%	3%	N/A	11%	3%	10.7	7.3	17.6	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	179,208 (1,694)		39%	15%	32%	14%	69%	13%	3%	N/A	12%	3%	10.7	6.2	17.6	1.0	2020 COVHITS (6+)

				TRIF	<b>PS MADE</b>	TO PEEL	REGION	BY THE R	ESIDENC	ES OF TH	E STUDY	AREA					
Time	Trips	%		Trip	Purpose				Mode o	of Travel				Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	(unweighted)	24hr	Work	School	Home	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cycle	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cycle	
	610,291	25.6%	52%	21%	7%	20%	67%	13%	6%	0%	8%	5%	11.4	6.1	9.0	1.1	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	34,281 (349)	23.2%	49%	27%	10%	14%	65%	10%	2%	N/A	18%	4%	6.9	4.7	7.5	0.9	2020 COVHITS (11+)
Alvi	38,900 (366)	24.5%	43%	36%	9%	12%	58%	16%	2%	N/A	19%	6%	6.9	3.5	7.5	0.8	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	2,386,920		22%	6%	45%	26%	68%	14%	7%	1%	6%	3%	10.8	7.6	11.0	1.1	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	148,080 (1,587)		19%	7%	51%	24%	72%	10%	3%	N/A	12%	3%	8.2	6.3	14.0	1.0	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	158,453 (1,625)		17%	9%	51%	23%	67%	14%	2%	N/A	13%	3%	8.2	5.3	14.0	1.0	2020 COVHITS (6+)

	OTHER	INFORM/	ATION – H	ousehold	level res	ponses		
	-	n-Store Shopp	ing Frequency	/		Online Shoppi	ng Frequency	
	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other
None	31%	7%	73%	71%	59%	63%	81%	66%
Once in a month	21%	6%	18%	17%	16%	11%	11%	20%
Once every two weeks	11%	16%	5%	7%	8%	6%	6%	9%
Once a week	23%	47%	3%	4%	9%	16%	1%	3%
Twice a week	8%	18%	0%	1%	5%	2%	1%	1%
3 times or more a week	7%	7%	0%	1%	4%	1%	0%	1%

OTI	HER INFOR	MATION -	Individual	level respo	onses	
	Transit Usag	e Frequency of	Transit User (w week		at least during	the survey a
	Work /school	Shopping	Restaurant	Recreation	Visiting	Other
None	89%	37%	44%	79%	72%	89%
Once a week	6%	18%	32%	13%	22%	5%
Twice a week	1%	9%	16%	3%	4%	1%
3 times a week	1%	21%	3%	2%	1%	1%
4 times a week	1%	4%	2%	0%	0%	2%
5 times a week	0%	7%	2%	1%	0%	1%
6 or more times a week	2%	5%	1%	3%	0%	0%



#### **REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON**

Travel Summaries December 2020

#### **REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON**

									HOUSE	HOLD	CHARA	CTERIST	<b>FICS</b>							
			Dwelli	ng Type			Ho	ousehold S	ize			Number of	of Available	e Vehicles				Househol	d Average	5
	eholds eighted)	House	Townhouse	Apartment	Other	1	2	3	4	5+	0	1	2	3	4+	Persons	Workers	Drivers	Vehicles	Trips/Day
2016 TTS	193,100	64%	18%	19%	N/A	20%	30%	18%	22%	11%	3%	31%	49%	12%	5%	2.8	1.6	1.8	2.0	5.9
2020 COVHITS	3,093 (777)	66%	19%	15%	1%	20%	30%	18%	22%	11%	4%	37%	46%	9%	4%	2.8	1.7	2.1	1.8	3.0(of age 6+) 2.7 (of age 11+)

				HOUS	EHOLD (	CHARA	CTERIST	ICS				
	Nu	Imber of A	Available Ac	dult Bikes				Но	usehold Inc	come		
	0	1	2	3	4+	\$0 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$124,999	\$125,000 and above	Decline / don't know
2016 TTS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2%	9%	11%	20%	12%	27%	19%
2020 COVHITS	37%	22%	26%	9%	5%	2%	6%	9%	28%	15%	27%	13%

							PC	PULATI	on cha	RACTE	RIS	TICS						
					Age				÷	L.		Denulation	Err	ployment T	ype			Transit
									B		Population	Full	Part	At	Student	Licensed	Transit Pass	
	nweighted)								3 per	l'rips er		unweighted)	Time	Time	Home			Pass
									Trips age 1:			262,000	46%	6%	5%	24%	72%	16%
(unwe	population/records (unweighted) 0-10 6 2 4 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 4							Median	Daily T Person a	Daily Work Worl	Male	3,978 (818)	47%	6%	10%	26%	73%	6%
2016 TTS	539,200	14%	7%	12%	26%	27%	14%	40.3	2.5	0.73		277,200	33%	11%	5%	23%	70%	15%
2020 COVHITS	8,723 (1,746)	14%	7%	12%	26%	27%	14%	38.0	1.1 (1.1)	0.35	Female	4,681 (909)	33%	10%	5%	31%	69%	5%

	POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS													
	Current Workplace Arrangement			Pre-COVID Workplace Arrangement				Pre-COVID Usual Mode of Travel to Work			Current Study Arrangement			
	WFH only	Hybrid	WOHO only	No usual place	WFH only	Нурна	WOHO only	No usual place	Auto Driver	Transit	Other	SFH only	Hybrid	Go to School
2016 Census	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9%	N/A	81%	9%	79%	11%	10%	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020 COVHITS	46%	8%	41%	6%	15%	18%	60%	7%	78%	15%	7%	44%	37%	19%

T	RIP RATES	BY HALTO	ON REGION	I RESIDEN	TS	
	0	1	2	3	4	5+
2016 TTS (11+)	18%	2%	47%	9%	14%	11%
2020 COVHITS (6+)	51%	2%	38%	6%	3%	2%
2020 COVHITS (11+)	53%	2%	35%	6%	3%	2%

	TRIPS MADE BY RESIDENTS OF HALTON REGION																
Time	Trips	%		Trip	Purpose			Mode of Travel						Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	(unweighted)	24hr	HB-W	HB-S	HB-D	N-HB	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cycle	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cycle	
	279,300	24.4%	47%	20%	23%	9%	69%	11%	2%	5%	8%	5%	10.2	3.0	9.2	1.1	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	1,749 (345)	21.2%	56%	25%	16%	3%	70%	8%	4%	N/A	16%	2%	17.5	9.0	7.3	1.2	2020 COVHITS (11+)
AIVI	2,150 (380)	23.5%	46%	38%	13%	3%	57%	14%	7%	N/A	17%	5%	17.5	5.4	5.6	1.2	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	1,143,900		31%	11%	43%	15%	73%	13%	2%	3%	6%	3%	6.2	4.1	6.4	1.1	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	8,237 (1,799)		30%	10%	40%	20%	76%	9%	3%	N/A	10%	1%	12.2	7.3	11.8	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	9,169 (1,885)		27%	17%	37%	19%	69%	12%	5%	N/A	12%	2%	12.2	5.7	8.7	1.1	2020 COVHITS (6+)

	TRIPS MADE TO HALTON REGION BY THE RESIDENCES OF THE STUDY AREA																
Time	Trips	%		Trip I	Purpose			Mode of Travel						Median Trip	Length (km)		
Period	(unweighted)	% 24hr	Work	School	Home	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	GO Train	Walk & Cycle	Other	Driver	Pass.	Transit	Walk & Cycle	
	206,271	20.4%	43%	25%	8%	24%	67%	12%	2%	0%	11%	7%	11.4	6.1	9.0	1.1	2016 TTS
6-9 AM	3,410 (261)	27.1%	64%	13%	2%	20%	86%	3%	2%	N/A	8%	1%	15.5	4.5	2.6	1.3	2020 COVHITS (11+)
AIVI	3,811 (296)	28.2%	58%	22%	2%	18%	77%	7%	4%	N/A	9%	3%	15.5	3.3	3.4	1.3	2020 COVHITS (6+)
	1,009,924		15%	6%	48%	31%	72%	14%	2%	2%	7%	3%	11.0	8.6	11.3	1.1	2016 TTS
24 Hrs	12,575 (1,610)		32%	5%	29%	35%	82%	9%	2%	N/A	7%	1%	11.9	6.1	8.9	1.1	2020 COVHITS (11+)
	13,507 (1,696)		30%	8%	30%	33%	76%	12%	3%	N/A	8%	2%	11.9	5.2	6.8	1.1	2020 COVHITS (6+)

	OTHER INFORMATION – Household level responses												
	l.	n-Store Shopp	ing Frequenc	y		Online Shoppi	ing Frequency						
	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other	Meals	Groceries	Clothing	Other					
None	43%	37%	71%	64%	37%	35%	73%	67%					
Once in a month	20%	5%	21%	25%	20%	7%	16%	22%					
Once every two weeks	14%	12%	5%	6%	13%	9%	6%	6%					
Once a week	15%	31%	1%	4%	19%	29%	4%	4%					
Twice a week	6%	12%	1%	1%	8%	14%	1%	1%					
3 times or more a week	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	1%					

OTHER INFORMATION – Individual level responses													
	Transit Usag	Transit Usage Frequency of Transit User (who used transit at least during the survey a week) Only											
	Work /school	Shopping	Restaurant	Recreation	Visiting	Other							
None	87%	45%	42%	86%	75%	90%							
Once a week	8%	6%	35%	11%	7%	4%							
Twice a week	3%	16%	16%	3%	13%	1%							
3 times a week	1%	17%	7%	0%	2%	1%							
4 times a week	1%	10%	1%	0%	3%	2%							
5 times a week	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%							
6 or more times a week	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%							

# **Lessons learned from Phase 1 of COVHITS Survey**

This section summarizes the key challenges and opportunities that the research team experienced in completing this survey. These are as follows:

- Despite all difficulties related to quickly deploying a household travel survey and completing the data collection within a short period, the project met its target by successfully collecting the necessary data.
- The use of an online survey panel made it possible to collect data within such a short period, but it needs to be clear that there are limits on the sample size collected through such an approach. Such limits depend on the size of panels and the spatial distribution of panel members' home locations.

The travel diary part of the survey was found most challenging by the respondents. So, the CAWI (TRAISI in this case) software should consider making the travel diary portion as intuitive (to the respondents) as possible.

# Appendix

Sample Weighting to match individual regions household size and age distributions

- On the individual level, weighting factors are calculated using an iterative proportional fitting (IFP)procedure constrained to household size (on the household level) and age cohort of census data (on the person level).
- Weighting factors were calculated for each sample based on household size and age cohort in each sub-region.

				PERCENTILE											
Regions	Mean	Std Dev.	Min.	0.01	0.05	0.25	0.5	0.75	0.95	0.99	Max				
Toronto	20.36	17.24	1.00	3.33	7.60	9.60	17.57	23.02	52.96	87.30	187.36				
York	6.54	5.33	1.00	1.00	1.45	3.58	5.74	7.65	17.30	28.11	40.12				
Peel	74.39	81.84	1.00	7.42	9.68	31.16	52.64	89.46	221.02	461.03	783.88				
Halton	3.98	3.32	1.00	1.00	1.22	2.28	3.08	4.11	9.98	15.08	52.55				

Sample Weighting to combine individual region's data for the whole study area by matching regional population distributions

• On the sub-region level, weighting factors are calculated to match the weighted-adjusted sub-regional population distributions with relative proportion of population between regions within the study area.

	Toronto	York	Peel	Halton
Normalized sub-region weight	7.88	8.94	1.00	9.52