# Prevalence of engagement in single vs. multiple types of secondary tasks: Results from naturalistic data

Martina Risteska, Univ. of Toronto Birsen Donmez, Univ. of Toronto Huei-Yen W. Chen, SUNY Buffalo Miti Modi, Univ. of Toronto









## **Research Objective:**

# Engagement in multiple secondary task types

- Early descriptive analysis on the Naturalistic Engagement in Secondary Tasks (NEST) dataset, suggests that
  - drivers are engaging in more than one type of secondary task in relatively short periods of time (i.e., within 10s; Domeyer et al. 2016)
  - potentially being exposed to increased demands brought upon by multi-tasking and task-switching
  - crash risks reported in literature may be confounded by the presence of other secondary tasks
- Objective: Conduct inferential statistics, to compare the prevalence of engagement in single vs. multiple types of secondary tasks in distractionaffected safety-critical events and baselines reported in NEST

#### **NEST Dataset: Naturalistic Engagement in Secondary Tasks**

 Reduced from SHRP2 data by VTTI, contracted by Toyota Collaborative Safety Research Center (CSRC) (Owens et al. 2015)

Event Type	Ν	Description	Coding epochs
Safety critical events (SCEs) crashes and near-crashes	236	Secondary task engagement observed	Precipitating event 10 s 10 s 10 s start end
Baseline events	944	Secondary task engagement <b>may</b> or may not have been observed	10 s 10 s start end

#### **Statistical Analysis**



# Event Type Significant; $\chi^2(2) = 30.75$ , p < .0001



- Engagement in multiple (vs. single) secondary task types *more likely* to occur *during SCEs compared to baselines*:
  - Lower severity SCEs vs. baselines: OR = 2.33 [1.22, 4.47]
  - Higher severity SCEs vs. baselines:
    OR = 2.42 [1.70, 3.44]

## Age Marginally Significant; $\chi^2(4) = 8.13$ , p = .09



- Drivers 65-over less likely to engage in multiple types of secondary tasks than
  - 16-19: OR = 0.45 [0.26, 0.78]
  - 20-24: OR = 0.57 [0.34, 0.96]
  - 25-34: OR = 0.61 [0.34, 1.12]
  - 35-64: OR = 0.57 [0.30, 1.10]

#### **Discussion**

- Need to consider engagement in single vs. multiple types of secondary tasks when assessing distraction-related crash risk
- Drivers 65-over less likely to engage in multiple types of secondary tasks compared to younger drivers
  - Risk reducing compensatory behaviors (Donorfio et al. 2009; Reimer et al. 2013)
  - Cognitive saturation
  - Generational differences
- Environmental demand was not significant
  - Sample size; a general issue in this analysis
  - Categorization may need to be improved